

ATTACHMENT F
Page 1 of 3

From: Barbara Pollock <[REDACTED]>
To: <gcaruso@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us>
Date: 10/10/2004 9:29:22 PM
Subject: French American School on Lewiston Drive

I do have a concern about any additions to the French American School, and if they do make additions to the school, how will that affect the parking and the traffic on Lewiston and Helena? At present, it's very difficult to cross the street in the morning and afternoon when folks are picking up their children. The parents are not very thoughtful when it comes to parking...they park on corners, restricting view. Also, some of the drivers are inconsiderate. One would think they would drive slower around the school, but they don't. I have asked the traffic department to put in a crosswalk right across Lewiston at the entry into the parking lot, but whenever they make a study, apparently there isn't enough traffic to warrant it.

So, I do have concerns.

Sincerely,

Barbara Pollock
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Gerri Caruso - File Numer 2004-0766 APN: 323-26-001French American School on Lewiston dr.

From: "Sandy Johnson" <[REDACTED]>
To: <gcaruso@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us>
Date: 11/2/2004 1:39 PM
Subject: File Numer 2004-0766 APN: 323-26-001French American School on Lewiston dr.
CC: <[REDACTED]>

My name is Brian Guthrie and I live at 1515 Lewiston Dr. in Sunnyvale across the street from the French American School (FAS). My wife and I object to the new proposed portables at FAS (1522 Lewiston dr.) for the following reasons:

- 1) When FAS originally started this process it did so with the Cupertino Union School District (CUSD) without informing its neighbors (us). We only found out about it through the Early Horizons School (EHS) that shares the property with FAS – EHS mailed to us and our neighbors a notice of this action.
- 2) When I phoned CUSD to object they informed me that this is a school property issue and there is nothing anyone can do about it. Which leads me to think FAS is no longer my neighbor but a business bent on expanding at the expense of our neighborhoods street traffic and parking while thriving under the protection of public/private CUSD property.
- 3) I have understood from word of mouth (not documented any where) that FAS does not intend to expand enrollment even after installing, on their own budget, a new facility. This is inconsistent with any good business practice to invest money in a project of any kind and not expect to get some return on it to pay it down. In my view any assertions that there will be no enrolment growth is false. Also, I can't imagine any business staying with a "promise" to not increase enrolment and thereby missing an opportunity to gain revenue for the owners – that is a joke.
- 4) If I were to buy into FAS's claim that they won't touch enrolment and they are true to their word (remember there is no documentation to back this claim) what will happen when they leave. If FAS leaves after a period of time what will happen with that empty space? CUSD will, for obvious reasons, want to fill that space and there will be no restriction on the new tenant or in this case the "FAS promise" to not increase enrollment.

All of the above are strong arguments that FAS or any new tenants replacing FAS will increase their enrollment and increase traffic and parking problems on Lewiston Dr.

My two concerns are safety from increased traffic and parking.

Safety: Today, the traffic around the school at the beginning of the day and the end is chaotic. There are children and parents parking sometimes a block away from FAS and walking into school. This situation will only get worse with the proposed addition and may result in a child getting hurt if safety steps aren't taken.

One proposed solution would be FAS pay for crosswalk lines in the street and possibly "yield when children present" signs etc.

Parking: I would propose that the City of Sunnyvale treat this proposal like any other business and require FAS to include parking solutions to accommodate the square foot increase.

Finally, my wife and I are personally against the idea. We are against it not only from the standpoint

of being distrustful of FAS along with concern for public safety and parking congestion but we also believe this to be a threat to our property value.